	Case 2:12-cv-00240-JCM-RJJ Document 10 Filed 03/22/12 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
7	
8	TROY FLETCHER, 2:12-CV-240 JCM (RJJ)
9	Plaintiff,
10	v.
11	BANK OF AMERICA
12	CORPORATION, et al.,
13	Defendants.
14	
15	ORDER
16	Presently before the court is defendants Bank of America Corporation, et. al.'s motion to
17	dismiss. (Doc. #4). Plaintiff Troy Fletcher failed to file an opposition.
18	The property at issue in this case is located at 769 Shirehampton Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada.
19	(Doc. #1, Ex. A). Plaintiff defaulted on his home loans on November 1, 2008. (Doc. #4, Ex. D).
20	The property was sold at a trustee's sale on November 19, 2009. (Doc. #4, Ex. F).
21	Defendants' motion argues that the court should dismiss the complaint for failure to state a
2223	claim upon which relief can be granted pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). (Doc.
24	#4). The motion addresses all four causes of action in plaintiff's complaint. (Doc. #4).
25	
26	
27	¹ Defendants' attorney filed an identical motion to dismiss in this case for defendant Recontrust Company, N.A. (Doc. #5). As these motions are identical and name all of the defendants
28	in this case, it is unnecessary for the court to issue two separate orders on these motions.
n	

Case 2:12-cv-00240-JCM-RJJ Document 10 Filed 03/22/12 Page 2 of 2

1	Pursuant to Nevada Local Rule 7-2(d), "the failure of an opposing party to file points and
2	authorities in response to any motion shall constitute a consent to the granting of the motion."
3	However, the court will not automatically grant every unopposed motion. In Ghazali v. Moran, 46
4	F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995), the Ninth Circuit held that the court had to weigh the following factors
5	before dismissing the action: (1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the
6	court's need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the public policy
7	favoring disposition of cases of their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic sanctions.
8	Plaintiff failed to oppose the motion to dismiss, and the court further finds that the Ghazali
9	factors weigh in favor of dismissing the action. <i>Ghazali</i> , 46 F.3d at 53; see also LR 7-2(d).
10	Accordingly,
11	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendants Bank of
12	America Corporation, et. al.'s motion to dismiss (doc. #4) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.
13	DATED March 22, 2012.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDG

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge